


MARLBOROUGH PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT OF FINDINGS

Dates of Onsite Visit: February 28,29 & March 3-7, 2008 Date of Draft Report: May 2, 2008 Date of Final Report: May 22, 2008 Action Plan Due: July 7, 2008

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Onsite Team Members:
Paul J. Aguiar, Chairperson
Elizabeth Holcombe
Anne Higgins
Patricia Cameron, EEC
Amanda LeBleu, OLAAA
Arlene Hijara, OLAAA



Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D. Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

MARLBOROUGH PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS	3
COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS	4
REPORT INTRODUCTION	6
DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS	9
LEGAL STANDARDS, COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND FINDINGS:	10
SPECIAL EDUCATION	10
CIVIL RIGHTS AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS	54
ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION	71

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

MARLBOROUGH PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS

As one part of its accountability system, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education oversees local compliance with education requirements through the Coordinated Program Review (CPR). All reviews cover selected requirements in the following areas:

Special Education (SE)

selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004), the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300, M.G.L. c. 71B (Chapter 766 of the Acts of 1972), and the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education's Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective July 1, 2005. Federal requirements selected for the 2007-2008 reviews include, among others, the requirements specified by the federal Office for Special Education Programs (OSEP) and the requirements revised by IDEA-2004 and described in the Department's Special Education Advisories.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

- selected federal civil rights requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 (Chapter 622 of the Acts of 1971) and other Massachusetts General Laws.
- selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education's Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
- selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education's Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).

English Learner Education (ELE) in Public Schools

• selected requirements from M.G.L. c. 71A, the state law that governs the provision of education to limited English proficient students, and 603 CMR 14.00, as well as the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. During the 2007-2008 school year, all districts that enroll limited English proficient students will be reviewed using a combination of updated standards and a self-assessment instrument overseen by the Department's Office of Language Acquisition and Academic Achievement (OLAAA), including a request for information regarding ELE programs and staff qualifications.

Some reviews also cover selected requirements in:

Career/Vocational Technical Education (CVTE)

• career/vocational technical education programs under the federal Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 and M.G.L. c. 74.

Districts providing Title I services participate in Title I program monitoring during the same year they are scheduled for a Coordinated Program Review. Details regarding the Title I program monitoring process are available at: http://www.doe.mass.edu/titlei/monitoring.

COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS

Team:

Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of programs to be reviewed, a team of two to eight Department staff members, together with any necessary outside consultants, conducts a Coordinated Program Review over two to ten days in a school district or charter school.

Timing:

Each school district and charter school in the Commonwealth is scheduled to receive a Coordinated Program Review every six years and a mid-cycle special education follow-up visit three years after the Coordinated Program Review; about seventy-five school districts and charter schools are scheduled for Coordinated Program Reviews in 2007-2008. The Department's 2007-2008 schedule of Coordinated Program Reviews is posted on the Department's web site at << http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/schedule.html>>. The statewide six-year Program Review cycle, including the Department's Mid-cycle follow-up monitoring schedule, is posted at

<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/6yrcycle.html>>.

Criteria: The Program Review criteria for each program encompass the requirements that have been selected for review. The requirements selected for review in all of the regulated programs are those that are most closely aligned with the goals of the Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 1993 to promote student achievement and high standards for all students.

Methods: Methods used in reviewing programs include:

- Review of documentation about the operation of the charter school or district's programs.
- Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff across all grade levels.
- Interviews of parent advisory council (PAC) representatives and other interviews as requested by other parents or members of the general public.
- Review of student records for special education (and for student accommodation plans under Section 504), English learner education, and career/vocational technical education. The Department selects a representative sample of student records for the onsite team to review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
- Surveys of parents of students with disabilities and parents of English learners. Parents of students with disabilities whose files are selected for the record review, as well as the parents of an equal number of other students with disabilities, are sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements; parents of English learners whose files are selected for the record review are sent a survey of their experiences with the district's implementation of the English learner education program and related procedural requirements.
- Observation of classrooms and other facilities. The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

Note on collaborative programs and services. Where the district is a member of a collaborative approved by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education and is a site for programs or services operated by the collaborative, interviews, student record review, and observation of classrooms are conducted for the collaborative.

Report: Preparation:

At the end of the onsite visit, the onsite team will hold an informal exit meeting to summarize its preliminary findings for the Superintendent or Charter School Leader and anyone else he or she chooses. Within approximately 45 business days of the onsite visit, the Onsite Chairperson will forward to the Superintendent or Charter School Leader (and Collaborative Director where applicable) a Draft Report containing specific findings from the Program Review. The district (and collaborative) will then have 10 business days to review the report for factual accuracy before the publication of a Final Report. The Final Report will be issued within approximately 60 business days of the conclusion of the onsite visit and posted on the Department's website at http://www.doe.mass.edu/pga/review/cpr/reports/.

Content:

Ratings. The onsite team gives a rating for each compliance criterion it reviews; those ratings are "Commendable," "Implemented" (meaning at least substantially implemented), "Implementation in Progress," "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented" (meaning at least substantially not implemented), and "Not Applicable." "Implementation in Progress," used for criteria containing new legal requirements, means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.

Findings. The onsite team includes a finding for each criterion that it rates "Commendable," "Partially Implemented," or "Not Implemented," explaining the basis for the rating. It may also include findings for other criteria.

Response: Where criteria are found "Partially Implemented" or "Not Implemented", the district or charter school must propose corrective action to bring those areas into compliance with the relevant statutes and regulations. This corrective action plan will be due to the Department within 30 business days after the issuance of the Final Report and is subject to the Department's review and approval.

> As the school or district is implementing the approved corrective action, Department staff will provide ongoing technical assistance. To assist in the implementation of corrective action related to the area of special education, schools and districts may apply for limited special education technical assistance funds. School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department's Final Program Review Report.

The Department believes that the Coordinated Program Review is a positive experience and that the Final Report is helpful in planning for the continued improvement of programs and services in each school district, charter school, and educational collaborative.

REPORT INTRODUCTION

A six-member Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education team visited the Marlborough Public School District during the week of March 3, 2008 to evaluate the implementation of selected criteria in the program areas of special education, civil rights and other related general education requirements, and English learner education. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities and to review the programs underway in the district. The onsite team would like to commend the following areas that were brought to its attention and that it believes have a significant and positive impact on the delivery of educational services for students enrolled in the Marlborough Public School District. These areas are as follows:

The district consistently uses its District Accommodation Plan and Building Accommodation Plans to strengthen regular education programs.

The High School's Life Skills Extension program at the Hildreth Building offers best practice in its innovative approach to transition planning, school-to-work, and meaningful use of adaptive technology for students between the ages of 18-22.

The district has a strong built-in infrastructure for its Applied Behavior Analysis program.

The Early Childhood Center provides an integrated half days preschool program as well as adaptive preschool and ABA preschool programs with extended days.

Some of the commendations noted above are repeated within the body of the Department's report under the appropriate compliance criteria.

The Department is submitting the following Coordinated Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

- Interviews of 12 administrative staff.
- Interviews of 62 teaching and support services staff across all levels.
- Interviews of four parent advisory council (PAC) representatives.
- Interviews as requested by persons from the general public.
- Student record reviews: A sample of 37 Special Education, three not eligible for Special Education, 17 ELE, three former ELE and two 504 student records were selected by the Department. Student records were first examined by local staff, whose findings were then verified by the onsite team using standard Department of Elementary and Secondary Education student record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements.
- Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: 59 parents of students with disabilities were sent surveys that solicited information about their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements. 12 of these parent surveys were returned to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for review.
- Surveys of parents of ELE students: 17 parents of ELE students were sent surveys that solicited information about their experiences with the district's implementation of English learner education programs, services, and procedural requirements. Four of these parent surveys were returned to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for review.

• Observation of classrooms and other facilities. Eight buildings and 23 instructional classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services were visited to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

The report includes findings in the program areas reviewed organized under nine components. These components are:

Component I: Assessment of Students

Component II: Student Identification and Program Placement

Component III: Parent and Community Involvement

Component IV: Curriculum and Instruction Component V: Student Support Services

Component VI: Faculty, Staff and Administration

Component VII: School Facilities Component VIII: Program Evaluation

Component IX: Recordkeeping and Fund Use

The findings in each program area explain the "ratings," determinations by the team about the implementation status of the criteria reviewed. The ratings indicate those criteria that were found by the team to be substantially "Implemented" or implemented in a "Commendable" manner. (Refer to the "Definition of Compliance Ratings" section of the report.) Where criteria were found to be either "Partially Implemented" or "Not Implemented," the district or charter school must propose to the Department corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. In some instances the team may have rated a requirement as "Implemented" or "Implementation in Progress" but made a specific comment on the district's implementation methods that also requires response from the district or charter school.

Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective action into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans.

MARLBOROUGH PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT RECEIVING A COMMENDABLE RATING FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

Special Education	Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements	English Learner Education
SE 34		

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT REQUIRING CORRECTIVE ACTION

PROGRAM AREA	PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED	NOT IMPLEMENTED	OTHER CRITERIA REQUIRING RESPONSE
Special Education	SE 1, SE2, SE6, SE8, SE9, SE13, SE14, SE17, SE18A,		
	SE13, SE14, SE17, SE18A, SE18B, SE22, SE24, SE25,		
	SE27, SE29, SE40, SE41,		
	SE46, SE47, SE48, SE55		
Civil Rights and Other	CR3, CR7A, CR9, CR10A,		
General Education	CR13, CR16, CR17A		
Requirements			
English Learner	ELE1, ELE3, ELE5, ELE6,		
Education	ELE9, ELE10, ELE12,		
	ELE13, ELE14, ELE15,		
	ELE16, ELE18		

NOTE THAT ALL OTHER CRITERIA REVIEWED BY THE DEPARTMENT THAT ARE NOT MENTIONED ABOVE HAVE RECEIVED AN "IMPLEMENTED" OR "NOT APPLICABLE" RATING.

DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS

Commendable Any requirement or aspect of a requirement

implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation.

ImplementedThe requirement is substantially met in all important

aspects.

Implementation in ProgressThe requirement includes one or more new federal

special education requirements that became effective with the federal regulations on October 13, 2006. The district or charter school has implemented any previous requirements included in the criterion and is currently

engaged in staff training and/or is beginning

implementation practices for new requirements which the Department's onsite team anticipates will result in substantial compliance by the end of the 2007-2008

school year.

Partially Implemented The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is

not entirely met.

Not Implemented The requirement is totally or substantially not met.

Not Applicable The requirement does not apply to the school district or

charter school.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

LEGAL STANDARDS, COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND FINDINGS

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS		
	Legal Standard		
SE 1	Assessments are appropriately selected and interpreted for students referred for evaluation		
	1. Tests and other evaluation materials are:		
	a.	validated	
	b.	administered and interpreted by trained individuals	
	c.	tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and related developmental needs	
	d.	selected and administered to reflect aptitude and	
		achievement levels and related developmental needs	
	e.	as free as possible from cultural and linguistic bias	
	f.	provided and administered in the language and form most	
		likely to yield accurate information on what the student	
		knows and can do academically, developmentally, and	
		functionally	
	g.	not the sole criterion for determining an appropriate	
		educational program	
	h.	not only those designed to provide a single general	
		intelligence quotient	
	i.	are selected and administered to ensure that when a test is	
		administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the test results accurately reflect the	
		student's aptitude or achievement level or the other factors the test purports to measure	
	j.	technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors	
	2. In interpreting	g evaluation data and making decisions, the district:	
	a.	uses information from a variety of sources to gather relevant	
		functional and developmental information, including	
		information provided by the parent	
	b.	ensures that information obtained from these sources is	
		considered	
	c.	ensures that the placement decision conforms with	
		placement in the least restrictive environment	
	d.	includes information related to enabling the student to be	
		involved in and progress in the general curriculum	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	
	603 CMR 28.04	34 CFR 300.304; 300.305; 300.306(c)	
	603 CMR 28.05		

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	
	Legal Standard	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that the district does not always provide and administer assessments in a language most likely to yield accurate information on what the student knows and can do academically, developmentally and functionally for a student identified as Limited English Proficient, especially for low incidence students. The review of student records and interviews indicate that assessments administered to students that are second language learners are not always selected and administered to reflect the student's aptitude and achievement levels in English and do not always take into consideration progress LEP students have made compared to other LEP students learning a new language, especially students at the lower elementary grade levels. All of the above may therefore result in misidentification of Limited English Proficient students as students with disabilities.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 2	Required and optional assessments 1. Required assessments: The following assessments are completed by appropriately credentialed and trained specialists for each referred student: a. Assessment(s) in all areas related to the suspected disability (ies) including consideration of any needed assistive technology devices		
	and services and/or instruction in braille. b. Educational assessment by a representative of the school district, including a history of the student's educational progress in the general curriculum.		
	c. Assessment by a teacher(s) with current knowledge regarding the student's specific abilities in relation to learning standards of the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and the district's general education curriculum, as well as an assessment of the student's attention skills, participation behaviors, communication skills, memory, and social relations with groups, peers, and adults.		
	d. For a child being assessed to determine eligibility for services at age three (3), an observation of the child's interactions in the child's natural environment or early intervention program is strongly encouraged together with the use of current assessments from early intervention Teams to avoid duplicate testing.		
	 Optional assessments: The Administrator of Special Education may recommend or the parent may request one or more of the following: a. A comprehensive health assessment by a physician that identifies medical problems or constraints that may affect the student's education. The school nurse may add additional relevant health 		

CRITERION NUMBER		
	I	egal Standard
	information from the student's school health records.b. A psychological assessment by a certified school psychologist, licensed psychologist, or licensed educational psychologist, includin an individual psychological examination.	
	social worker, guidan includes information and may include a ho 3. At the re-evaluation of a studetermine whether the student the school district recommendation at that no further assessing	nat may be conducted by a nurse, psychologist, ce or adjustment counselor, or teacher and on pertinent family history and home situation me visit, with the agreement of the parent ident, if no additional assessments are needed to ent continues to be eligible for special education, ends to the student's parents the following: ments are needed and the reasons for this; and into the request an assessment.
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04 (1) and (2)	34 CFR 300.304; 300.305; 300.324(a)(2)(v)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that not all required assessments, such as suspected disability and educational, are always administered or are not always documented.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Lega	l Standard
SE 3	Special requirements for determination of specific learning disability When a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is evaluated, the Team creates a written determination as to whether or not he or she has a specific learning disability, which is signed by all members of the Team, or if there is disagreement as to the determination, one or more Team members document their disagreement.	
		Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.8(c)(10); 300.311
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 4	 Reports of assessment results Each person conducting an assessment shall summarize in writing the procedures employed, the results, and the diagnostic impression, and shall define in detail and in educationally relevant and common terms, the student's needs, offering explicit means of meeting them. Assessors may recommend appropriate types of placements, but shall not recommend specific classrooms or schools. Summaries of assessments are completed prior to discussion by the Team and, upon request, are made available to the parent at least two days in advance of the Team discussion. State Requirements CMR 28.04(2)(c)
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 5	 Participation in general State and district-wide assessment programs All students with disabilities whose placements are funded by the district are included in the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) and other district-wide assessment programs. The district's IEP Teams designate how each student will participate and, if necessary, provide an alternate assessment. The superintendent of a school districtor, for a public school program that is not part of a school district, the equivalent administrator— files an MCAS performance appeal for a student with a disability when the student's parent or guardian or the student, if 18 or over, requests it, provided that the student meets the eligibility requirements for such an appeal; obtains the consent of the parent or guardian or the student, if 18 or over, for any MCAS performance appeal filed on behalf of a student with a disability; includes in the MCAS performance appeal, to the extent possible, the required evidence of the student's knowledge and skills in the subject at issue.

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	St. 2003, c. 140, s. 119;	20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(16)
	603 CMR 30.05(2),(3),(5)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Leş	gal Standard
SE 6	 Determination of transition services The Team discusses the student's transition needs annually beginning no later than when the student is 15 years old and documents its discussion on the Transition Planning Form. The Team reviews the Transition Planning Form annually and updates information on the form and the IEP, as appropriate. Reserved For any student approaching graduation or the age of twenty-two, the Team determines whether the student is likely to require continuing services from adult human service agencies. In such circumstances, the Administrator of Special Education makes a referral to the Bureau of Transitional Planning in the Executive Office of Health and Human Services in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71B, §§12A-12C (known as Chapter 688). In cases where the IEP included needed transition services and a participating agency other than the school district fails to provide these services, the Team reconvenes to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives. The district ensures that students are invited to and encouraged to attend part or all of Team meetings at which transition services are discussed or proposed. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L.c.71B, Sections 12A-C 603 CMR 28.05(4)(c)	34 CFR 300.320(b); 300.321(b); 300.322(b)(2); 300.324(c)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records and interviews indicate that transition plans are not always completed at the Phoenix Program.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 7	Transfer of parental rights at age of majority and student participation and consent at the age of majority 1. One year prior to the student reaching age 18, the district informs the st	
		8 to make all decisions in relation to special
		18, the school district implements procedures to udent to continue the student's special education
	3. The district continues to se	and the parent written notices and information ave decision-making authority, except as provided
	competent jurisdic authority. The pa decision or lack o the age of majorit	ought and received guardianship from a court of etion, then the parent retains full decision-making rent does not have authority to override any f decision made by the student who has reached y unless the parent has sought or received ther legal authority from a court of competent
	(b) The student, upon any court actions making with his o allowing the parer presence of the Te student's choice p between the adult	reaching the age of majority and in the absence of to the contrary, may choose to share decision-reparent (or other willing adult), including at to co-sign the IEP. Such choice is made in the earn and is documented in written form. The revails at any time that a disagreement occurs student and the parent or other adult with whom ared decision-making
	(c) The student, upon any court actions decision-making t choice is made in school district and	reaching the age of majority and in the absence of to the contrary, may choose to delegate continued to his or her parent, or other willing adult. Such the presence of at least one representative of the lone other witness and is documented in written and in the student record.
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.07(5)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300. 320(c), 300.520
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION			
NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	 IEP Team composition and attendance The following persons are members of the IEP Team and may serve in multiple roles: 1. The child's parents. 2. A representative of the school district who acts as Chairperson and who is (1) qualified to supervise or provide special education; (2) is knowledgeable about the general curriculum; and (3) is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the district. 3. A representative of the school district who has the authority to commit the resources of the district (and who may act as the Chairperson). 4. a. If the student may be involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher. If the student is involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher of the student. b. If the student is participating in a special education program, a special education teacher of the student or, if appropriate, a special education provider for the student. 5. The student, if one purpose of the meeting is to discuss transition services or if otherwise appropriate and if he/she chooses. 6. Other individuals at the request of the student's parents. 7. Reserved 8. An individual who is qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results, who may be any one of the persons identified in parts 2 - 4 above. 9. Other individuals who may be necessary to write an IEP for the child, as determined by the Administrator of Special Education. 10. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, a representative of any public agency who may be responsible for providing or paying for transition services is invited to the Team meeting. If the representative(s) does not attend the meeting, the school district takes othe		
	a. the parent and district agree to use alternative means, such as a video conference or a conference call, for any Team meeting OR a. (i) the school district and parent agree that the member's attendance is not necessary or consent to the member's excusal AND		
	(ii) the parent's agreement or consent is in writing AND		

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Le	gal Standard
	(iii) the member submits in writing any input he or she has related to the IEP's development.	
	State Requirements Federal Requirements	
	603 CMR 28.02(21)	34 CFR 300.116(a); 300.321; 300.328
		See also, IDEA 97 regulations, 34 CFR
		Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that regular education teachers have not always been present at IEP Team meetings and that excusal forms were not always either signed by the parent or did not always indicate the individual being excused and his/her role on the Team.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 9	Timeline for determination of eligibility and provision of documentation to parent Within forty-five school working days after receipt of the parent's written consent to an initial evaluation or a re-evaluation, the school district determines whether the student is eligible for special education and provides to the parent either a proposed IEP and (except in cases covered by 603 CMR 28.06(2)(e)) proposed placement or a written explanation of the finding of no eligibility.	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(1); 28.06(2)(e)	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

The review of student records and interviews indicate that the district is not always providing the proposed IEP and placement to the parent within the 45-day mandated timeline for eligibility determination.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 9A	Elements of the eligibility determination; general education accommodations and services for ineligible students 1. To determine whether a student is eligible for special education, the district: a. provides an evaluation or re-evaluation b. convenes a Team meeting c. determines whether the student has one or more disabilities d. determines if the student is making effective progress in school e. determines if any lack of progress is a result of the student's disability f. determines if the student requires special education and/or related services in order to make effective progress or if the student require related services in order to access the general curriculum 2. If a Team determines that a student is not eligible for special education but may be eligible for accommodation(s) for disability(ies) under Section 504, the student is referred for consideration by the district for eligibility under that regular education program. 3. When the student does not need any direct services, the Team makes a finding of no eligibility and appropriate services are provided through the district's general education program. 4. When the student's lack of progress is due to a lack of instruction in reading or mathematics or limited English proficiency or social maladjustment, or due to an inability to meet the school discipline code but is not due to a disability, the district makes a finding of no eligibility for special education and may refer the student to a more appropriate instructional program or support service. State Requirements Federal Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(1) and (2) State Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(1) and (3)	
	, , , , , ,	·
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 10	End of school year evaluations If consent is received between 30 and 45 school working days before the end of the school year, the school district ensures that a Team meeting is scheduled so as to allow for the provision of a proposed IEP or written notice of the finding that the student is not eligible no later than 14 days after the end of the school year.

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(1)	34 CFR 300.323
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 11	School district response to parental request for independent educational evaluation If a parent disagrees with an initial evaluation or re-evaluation completed by the school district, and the parent requests an independent educational evaluation, the district implements the following requirements: 1. All independent educational evaluations funded by the district are conduct by qualified persons who are registered, certified, licensed or otherwise approved and who abide by the rates set by the state agency responsible for setting such rates. Unique circumstances of the child are justified when an individual assessment rate is higher than that normally allowed. 2. The school district has procedures to offer parents the option of participating in an income eligibility program for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluations that are equivalent to the types of assessments dor by the school district. 3. The district extends the right to a publicly funded independent educational evaluation (only if cost shared or funded for state wards or for students receiving free or reduced cost lunch) for sixteen (16) months from the date the evaluation with which the parent disagrees. 4. If the parent is requesting an evaluation in an area not assessed by the school district, or if the student does not meet or the parent does not choose to shithe financial documentation regarding the income eligibility standards for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluation, then the school district responds in accordance with the requirements of federal law by paying for the independent educational evaluation or, within five school days, proceeding to Special Education Appeals to show that its evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate. Where the Department's Special Education Appeals finds that the school district does not pay for the independent educational evaluation requested by the parent. 5. Whenever possible, the independent educational evaluation is completed a written report sent no later than thirty (

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	If publicly funded, the report is sent to the parents and to the school district. The independent evaluator's report summarizes, in writing, procedures, assessments, results, and diagnostic impressions as well as educationally relevant recommendations for meeting identified needs of the student. The independent evaluator recommends appropriate types of placements but does not recommend specific classrooms or schools. 6. Within ten (10) school days from the time the school district receives the report of the independent educational evaluation, the Team reconvenes and considers the independent educational evaluation (which may be publicly or privately funded) and whether a new or amended IEP is appropriate.	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.04(5)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.502
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
SE 12	 When the student's needs warrant it or a parent or teacher requests it, the school district, with parental consent, conducts a full re-evaluation consistent with the requirements of federal law, provided that: a re-evaluation is conducted every three years unless the parent and district agree that it is unnecessary and a re-evaluation is conducted no more frequently than once a year unless the parent and district agree otherwise. The district implements re-evaluation procedures in all cases where it is suspected that a student is no longer eligible for special education, except that no re-evaluation is required before the termination of eligibility because a student has graduated with a regular high school diploma or exceeded the age of eligibility. 	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.04(3)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.303; 300.305(e)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	L	egal Standard
SE 13	 Progress Reports and content Parents receive reports on the student's progress toward reaching the goals set in the IEP at least as often as parents are informed of the progress of non-disabled students. Progress report information sent to parents includes written information on the student's progress toward the annual goals in the IEP. Where a student's eligibility terminates because the student has graduated from secondary school or exceeded the age of eligibility, the school district provides the student with a summary of his or her academic achievement and functional performance, including recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting his or her postsecondary goals. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.07(3) Rating: Partially Implemented	34 CFR 300.305(e)(3); 300.320(a)(3) District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that progress reports are not always documented.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Le	gal Standard
SE 14	meeting is held to consider the develop a new IEP or refer the second of	re the anniversary date of the IEP, a Team ne student's progress and to review, revise, or ne student for a re-evaluation, as appropriate. Detween annual IEP meetings the district and anges to a student's IEP, documented in meeting of the Team. Upon request, a parent is of the IEP with the amendments incorporated.
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.04(3)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.324(a)(4), (6) and (b)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

The review of student records indicates that annual IEP meetings are not always held on or before their anniversary dates.

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT	
	Le	gal Standard
SE 15	groups below from which promotion education may be expected, or which education: 1. professionals in community 2. private nursery schools 3. day care facilities 4. group homes 5. parent organizations 6. clinical /health care agencies 7. early intervention programs 8. private/parochial schools 9. other agencies/organizations 10. the school or schools that are	part of the district, including charter schools /or homeless persons pursuant to the
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.111; 300.131; 300.209
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 16	 Screening The school district conducts screening for three and four year olds and for all children who are of age to enter kindergarten. Such screening is designed to review a child's development and to assist in identification of those children who should be referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education services. Participation in the screening program for three and four year olds is optional on the part of the parents. 	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.03(1)(d) Federal Requirements	
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Leg	al Standard	
SE 17	 The school district encourages Health, other agencies, and in the child turns two-and-one-has services and to ensure the dev eligible children by the date of federal requirements. The district implements proce young children with disabilities 	Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the child turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the child's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements.	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.06(7)(b)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.101(b); 300.124; 300.323(b)	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes	

The review of student records indicates that the district does not always respond to referrals from Early Intervention in a timely manner. Students sometimes wait a month or more and, instead of getting consent from parents and conducting an evaluation to determine eligibility in the area(s) of suspected disability, the district uses a generic preschool screening and then finds the student eligible. This delay sometimes results in a student beginning services after their third birthday.

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
SE 18A	 Upon determining that the student is eligible for special education, the Team, including the parent(s), develops an IEP at the Team meeting. The IEP is completed addressing all elements of the most current IEP format provided by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. The school district ensures that the IEP will not be changed at a higher administrative level within the district. 	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(3) Federal Requirements IDEA-97: 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Le	gal Standard
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that Nonparticipation Justification statements for students requiring services outside of the regular education setting are sometimes missing for students that require them. Also, home based services are sometimes written under Additional Information and do not include the amount of service provided nor the dates when the services begin or end. The Present Level of Educational Performance B is sometimes left blank or states "See PLEP A". Service delivery grids are not always completed at the Phoenix Program.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal	Standard
SE 18B	 Legal Standard Determination of placement; provision of IEP to parent At the Team meeting, after the IEP has been fully developed, the Team determines the appropriate placement to deliver the services on the student's IEP. Unless the student's IEP requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that he or she would attend if the student did not require special education. The decision regarding placement is based on the IEP, including the types of related services that are to be provided to the child, the type of settings in which those services are to be provided, the types of service providers, and the locatio at which the services are to be provided. The placement selected by the Team is the least restrictive environment consistent with the needs of the student. Immediately following the development of the IEP, the district provides the parent with two (2) copies of the proposed IEP and proposed placement along with the required notice, except that the proposal of placement may be delayed according to the provisions of 603 CMR 28.06(2)(e) in a limited number of cases. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(6) and (7); 28.06(2) Rating: Partially Implemented	34 CFR 300.116; 300.325 District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

The review of student records indicates that the district does not always provide the parent with the proposed IEP immediately following its development. The IEP sometimes has two types of placements (i.e. full inclusion in PL1 and partial inclusion in PL2) on the same IEP. The review of records also indicates that the parent or the district does not always sign placement pages at the Phoenix program.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	L	egal Standard
SE 19	 Extended evaluation If the Team finds a student eligible for special education and finds the evaluation information insufficient to develop a full or partial IEP, the Team, with the parents' consent, agrees to an extended evaluation period. 1. The extended evaluation period is not used to deny programs or services determined to be necessary by the Team. If, prior to the extended evaluation, the Team determines that sufficient information is available to determine, in part, necessary annual goals and services, the Team writes a partial IEP that, if accepted by the parent, is immediately implemented by the district while the extended evaluation is occurring. 2. The extended evaluation period is not used to allow additional time to complete the required assessments. 3. If the parent consents to an extended evaluation, the Team documents their findings and determines what evaluation time period is necessary and the types of information needed to develop an IEP. The Team may decide to meet at intervals during the extended evaluation, but in all cases reconvenes promptly to develop an IEP when the evaluation is complete. 4. The extended evaluation may extend longer than one week, but does not exceed eight school weeks. 5. The extended evaluation is not considered a placement. 	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(2)(b)	Federal Requirements
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 20	 Least restrictive program selected The program selected is the least restrictive environment for students, with consideration given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services that he or she needs. If the student is removed from the general education classroom at any time, the Team states why the removal is considered critical to the student's program and the basis for its conclusion that education of the student in a less restrictive environment, with the use of supplementary aids and services,

CRITERION NUMBER			
		Legal Standard	
	could not be achieved satisfactorily. 3. The district does not remove an eligible child from the general education classroom solely because of needed modification in the curriculum.		
	residential facility or an or IEP Team considers wheth	If a student's IEP necessitates special education services in a day or residential facility or an out-of-district educational collaborative program, the IEP Team considers whether the student requires special education services and support to promote the student's transition to placement in a less restrictive program.	
	State Requirements M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3 603 CMR 28.06(2)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.114 – 120	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 21	 School day and school year requirements The Team routinely considers the need for an educational program that is less than or more than the regular school day or school year, including extended day, or year, and/or residential services and indicates on the IEP why the shorter or longer program is necessary. The daily duration of the child's program is equal to that of the regular school day unless the Team states that a different duration is necessary to provide a free appropriate public education to the child. In this case the Team specifies the daily duration of the program and states the reason for the different duration on the IEP. Specialized transportation schedules do not impede a student's access to a full school day and program of instruction. An extended day or year program is identified if the student has demonstrated or is likely to demonstrate substantial regression in his or her learning skills and/or substantial difficulty in relearning such skills if an extended program is not provided. If residential services are required, the IEP clearly specifies the reasons for such
	 determination and how such services will be coordinated with the day education services provided to the student. Additionally, the annual goals and services on the student's IEP reflect the comprehensive nature of the educational program required. 6. Camping or recreation programs provided solely for recreational purposes and

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Lega	al Standard	
		with no corresponding IEP goals or specially designed instruction are not to be considered for extended year programs.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	
	M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G	34 CFR 300.106	
	603 CMR 28.05(4)(d) and (5)(c)		
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Stand	ard
SE 22	 IEP implementation and availability Where the IEP of the student in need of special education has been accepted in whole or in part by that student's parent, the school district provides the mutually agreed upon services without delay. At the beginning of each school year, the district has an IEP in effect for each eligible student within its jurisdiction. Each teacher and provider described in the IEP is informed of his or her specific responsibilities related to the implementation of the student's IEP and the specific accommodations, modifications, and supports that must be provided for the student under it. The school district does not delay implementation of the IEP due to lack of classroom space or personnel, provides as many of the services on the accepted IEP as possible and immediately informs parents in writing of any delayed services, reasons for delay, actions that the school district is taking to address the lack of space or personnel and offers alternative methods to meet the goals on the accepted IEP. Upon agreement of the parents, the school district implements alternative methods immediately until the lack of space or personnel issues are resolved. 	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(7)(b); 28.06(2)(d)(2)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.323
	Rating: Partially Implemented Dis	strict Response Required: Yes

Interviews indicate that teacher assistants that are on students' IEPs at the high school are not always provided in a timely manner due to staff shortages. The high school also does not always inform parents in writing of alternative methods that may be used to address IEP goals until the personnel issues are resolved.

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
SE 24	Notice to parent regarding proposal or refusal to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE 1. A student may be referred for an evaluation by a parent or any person in a caregiving or professional position concerned with the student's development. 2. When a student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the school district sends written notice to the child's parent(s) within 5 school days of receipt of the referral, along with the district's notice of procedural safeguards. The written notice meets all of the content requirements set forth in M.G.L. c.71B, §3, and in federal law, seeks the consent of the parent for the evaluation to occur, and provides the parent with the opportunity to express any concerns or provide information on the student's skills or abilities and to consult regarding the evaluators to be used. 3. For all other actions, the district gives notice complying with federal requirements within a reasonable time. 4. The school district provides the student's parent(s) with an opportunity to consult with the Special Education Administrator or his/her designee to discuss the reasons for the referral and the nature of the proposed evaluation 5. The district provides parents with an opportunity to consult with the Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee regarding the evaluators to be used and the proposed content of all required and optional assessments 6. The school district does not limit a parent's right to refer a student for timely special education evaluation because the district has not fully explored and/or attempted some or all of the available instructional support programs or other interventions available in general education that may be described in the district's curriculum accommodation plan, including any pre-referral program. 7. The school district refuses to conduct an initial evaluation only when the circumstances of a student make clear that there is	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

The review of student records indicates that N1 forms are not always tailored to the specific purpose of the meeting. Furthermore, elements of the N1 forms are sometimes not complete, specifically there was not always a description of each evaluation procedure, test, record or report the district used as a basis for the proposed IEP.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 25	In accordance with state and federal law, the school district obtains informed parental consent as follows: 1. The school district obtains written parental consent before conducting an initial evaluation and before making an initial placement of a student in a special education program. Written parental consent is obtained before conducting a reevaluation and before placing a student in a special education placement subsequent to the initial placement in special education. 2. The school district obtains consent before initiating extended evaluation services. 3. The school district obtains consent to the services proposed on a student's IEP before providing such services. 4. A parent is informed that consent may be revoked at any time. Except for initial evaluation and initial placement, consent may not be required as condition of any benefit to the child. 5. When the participation or consent of the parent is required and the parent are implemented through multiple attempts using a variety of methods which are documented by the district. Such efforts may include letters, written notices sent by certified mail, electronic mail (e-mail), telephone calls, or, if appropriate, TTY communications to the home, and home visits at such time as the parent is likely to be home. Efforts may include seeking assistance from a community service agency to secure parental participation. 6. If, subsequent to initial evaluation and initial placement and after following the procedures required by the regulations, the school district is unable to obtain parental consent to a reevaluation or to placement in a special education program subsequent to the initial placement, or the parent revokes consent to such reevaluation or placement, the school district considers with the parent whether such action will result in the denial of a free appropriate public education to the child. If, after consideration, the school district determines that the parent's failure or refusal to consent will result in a denial of a free appropriate pub		
	Aumg. I ar dang implemented District Response Required. Tes		

The review of student records indicates that consent forms are not always documented.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 25A	Sending of copy of notice to Special Education Appeals Within five calendar days of receiving a notice that a parent is requesting a hearing or has rejected an IEP, proposed placement, or finding of no eligibility for special education, the school district sends a copy of the notice to Special Education Appeals.	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.08(3)(b)	Federal Requirements
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	I	Legal Standard
SE 25B	 Resolution of disputes Within 15 days of receiving notice that a parent has made an official hearing request to Special Education Appeals, the district convenes a meeting with the parent(s) and the relevant member(s) of the IEP Team, including a representative of the district with decision-making authority, to try to resolve the dispute. The resolution session may be waived if the district and the parents agree in writing to do so or if they agree to use mediation instead. If the dispute is resolved at the resolution session, the parent(s) and a representative of the district with the authority to do so sign a legally binding agreement, enforceable in state or federal court. Any party may void this agreement within three business days of the signing. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.510
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 26	group that makes deci 2. The Administrator of Team meeting early et 3. The district schedules and documents such e 4. If neither parent can a participation, includin conferencing. 5. In cases where the district parents' participation is	at one or both parents of a child are members of any sions on the educational placement of their child. Special Education notifies parent(s) in writing of any nough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend. the meeting at a mutually agreed upon time and place;
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.02(21)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.322; 300.501
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Leg	al Standard
SE 27	Content of Team meeting notice to parents The parent notice of any Team meeting states the purpose, time and location of the meeting as well as who will be in attendance.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.322(b)(1)(i)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that meeting invitations are not always documented.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 29	words and are in both Engliprimary language is other to these requirements is fluenthamiliar with special education parents or the student are used deaf, communications requesting English with the use of a fel language, via TTY, or in with words are documentations are documentation that is not documentation (1) that it he	ents are in simple and commonly understood lish and the primary language of the home if such than English. Any interpreter used in fulfilling at in the primary language of the home and ation procedures, programs and services. If the mable to read in any language or are blind or uired by these regulations are made orally in oreign language interpreter, in Braille, in sign writing, whichever is appropriate, and all such mented. ces orally or in some other mode of written language, the district keeps written as provided such notice in an alternate manner, office and (3) of the steps taken to ensure that the	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	
	603 CMR 28.07(8) Rating: Partially Implemented	34 CFR 300.322(e); 300.503(c) District Response Required: Yes	

The review of student records and interviews indicate that communications with parents have not always been sent home in the primary language of the home if such primary language is other than English (i.e. IEPs, progress reports, invitations and other notices).

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 32	 Parent advisory council for special education The school district has established a district-wide parent advisory council on special education. Membership on the council is offered to all parents of children with disabilities and other interested parties. The parent advisory council duties include but are not limited to: advising the district on matters that pertain to the education and safety of students with disabilities; meeting regularly with school officials to participate in the planning, development, and evaluation of the school district's special education programs. The parent advisory council has established by-laws regarding officers and

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Leg	al Standard
	 operational procedures. 5. The parent advisory council receives assistance from the school committee without charge, upon reasonable notice, and subject to the availability of staff and resources. 6. The school district conducts, in cooperation with the parent advisory council, at least one workshop annually within the district on the rights of students and their parents and guardians under the state and federal special education laws. 	
	State Requirements M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(a)(4); 28.07(4)	Federal Requirements
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION Legal Standard	
SE 33	Curriculum Frameworks and the experformance as well as understand be full participants in the general 2. The district has taken steps to prodisabilities) with essential learning reach the state graduation standards. At least one member of all IEP Teand is able to discuss an eligible scurriculum.	connection between the Massachusetts expectations of the state for student ding the rights of students with disabilities to curriculum. vide students (including all students with g opportunities that prepare the students to
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.05(4)(a) and (b) Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.320(a)(1)(i) and	
	555 51.21 25.55 (1)(a) and (5)	a(2)(i)(A); 300.321(a)(4)(ii)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal	Standard
SE 34	Continuum of alternative services and placements The district provides or arranges for the provision of each of the elements of the IEPs of students in need of special education from the ages of three through twenty-one, ensuring that a continuum of services and alternative placements is available to meet the needs of all students with disabilities, and takes all steps necessary to ensure compliance with all elements of the IEPs, including vocational education.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(7)(b) Rating: Commendable	34 CFR 300.109; 300.110; 300.115 District Response Required: No

The High School's Life Skills Extension program at the Hildreth Building offers best practice in its innovative approach to transition planning, school-to-work, and meaningful use of adaptive technology for students between the ages of 18-22. The district has a strong built in infrastructure for its Applied Behavior Analysis program. The Early Childhood Center provides an integrated half days preschool program as well as adaptive preschool and ABA preschool programs with extended days.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Le	gal Standard
SE 35	Assistive technology: specialized materials and equipment 1. Specialized materials and equipment specified in IEPs are provided. 2. The school district provides evidence that assistive technology is considered for each eligible student and—if the student needs it in order to receive a free, appropriate public educationdescribed in the IEP and provided by the district.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.105; 300.324(a)(2)(v)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 36	 in-district and each out-of-to by a child's parents. 3. Reserved. 4. The district provides all prochild's parents. 5. Each time the school district insurance to support the coobtains the parent's conserpermit the school district to 	ongoing manner the full implementation of each district IEP it proposes which has been consented ograms and services without expense to the ct proposes to access the parent's private ests of IEP implementation, the school district and informs the parents that their refusal to access their private insurance does not relieve ility to ensure that all required services are
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.06(3)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.17(a); 300.101-104; 300.154
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 37	Procedures for approved and unapproved out-of-district placements 1. Individual student program oversight: The school district monitors the provision of services to and the programs of individual students placed in public and private out-of-district programs. Documentation of monitoring plans and all actual monitoring are placed in the files of every eligible student who has been placed out-of-district. To the extent that this monitoring requires site visits, su site visits are documented and placed in the students' files for review. The dut to monitor out-of-district placements is not delegated to parents or their agents to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, or to the out-of-district placement. 2. Student right to full procedural protections: The school district retains full responsibility for ensuring that the student is receiving all special education and regulation. Any Team meetings conducted during the time that a student is enrolled in the out-of-district program are initiated by the school district in coordination with the out-of-district placement.	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	3. Preference to approved programs: The school district, in all circumstances, first seeks to place a student in a program approved by the Department pursuant to the requirements of 603 CMR 28.09. Preference is also given to approved programs located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts if the choice of such program is consistent with the needs of the student and the choice of such program complies with LRE requirements. When an approved program is available to provide the services on the IEP, the district makes such placement in preference to any program not approved by the Department. 4. Written contracts: The school district enters into written contracts with all public and private out-of-district placements. At a minimum, such contracts meet the content requirements of 28.06(3)(f)(1-5). 5. Use of unapproved programs: A school district that places a student in a program that has not been approved by the Department according to the requirements under 603 CMR 28.09 ensures that such programs and services are provided in appropriate settings by appropriately credentialed staff able to deliver the services on the student's IEP. Students placed by the school district in such programs are entitled to the full protections of state and federal special education law and regulation. 6. Placement documentation: The following documentation is maintained by the school district pursuant to its placement of children in unapproved out-of-district programs: a. Search: The Administrator of Special Education documents the search for and unavailability of a program approved by the Department. The Administrator places such documentation in the student record. b. Evaluation of facility: The Administrator of special Education or his/her designee thoroughly evaluates the appropriateness of any unapproved facility prior to placement of the student in such program. Such evaluation determines whether the unapproved facility can and will provide the student with all the rights that are accorded to the student under state and f	
	31 and a copy of such approval is retained in the student record.	

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	Auditing for Hum. Administrator obtate programs receiving completed by the purpoposed for the structure services to any stude. Notification of the Prior to placement, facility is appropriate intent to place the suplacement. In additional proposed placement along with the information will govern such plur pursuant to 603 CM documentation of the programs receiving the proposed placement along with the information of the pursuant to 603 CM documentation of the programs receiving the pursuant to 603 CM documentation of the programs receiving the proposed placement along with the information of the pursuant to 603 CM documentation of the programs receiving the proposed placement along the placement along the proposed placement along th	o the requirements for Compliance, Reporting and an and Social Services at 808 CMR 1.00, the ins pricing forms required to set program prices for a publicly funded students. Such pricing forms are roposed placement and document that the price adent's tuition is the lowest price charged for similar dent in that program. Department of Elementary and Secondary Education: if the Team determines that placement in such atte, the Administrator notifies the Department of the student and the name and location of the proposed tion, the Administrator forwards the notice of at and completed pricing forms to the Department romation on the proposed terms of the contract that accement and documentation of a monitoring plan MR 28.06(3)(b). The district maintains any the Department's objections to such placement and thas taken in regard to such objection. The district
	students as set by t	ntation of the approved price for publicly funded the state agency responsible for setting program
	placement outside approval by the Do	ms: If out-of-district programs are provided in a of Massachusetts, and such school has not received epartment under 603 CMR 28.09, the Administrator on ensures that such school has received approval
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 76, s. 1 603 CMR 18.00; 28.02(14); 28.06(2)(f) and (3); 28.09 808 CMR 1.00	34 CFR 300.2(c)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 38	Educational services in institutional settings (ESIS) Department of Elementary and Secondary Education responsibility: In cases where the Department provides certain special education services to eligible students in certain facilities operated by or under contract with the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Youth Services, County Houses of Corrections, or the Department of Public Health, the Department retains the discretion to determine, based upon resources, the type and amount of special education and related services that it provides in such facilities. School district responsibility: 1. The district implements its responsibilities to students in institutional settings be acting on requests for evaluation, issuing proposed IEPs in a timely manner, an providing special education and/or related services in accordance with state and federal law. 2. Where a student's IEP requires a type or amount of service that the facility does not provide, it remains the responsibility of the parent's school district to implement the student's IEP by arranging and paying for the provision of such service(s). 3. The parent's school district coordinates with the state agency to ensure that the student receives an evaluation, an annual review, and special education services as identified at a Team meeting convened by the parent's school district. State Requirements Federal Requirements	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.06(9) Federal Requirements	
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 39A	 Procedures used to provide services to eligible students enrolled in private schools at private expense whose parents reside in the district The district conducts child find activitiescomparable to those for public school studentsfor all students enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district. The district consults with private schools in accordance with federal requirements. The district provides or arranges for the provision of an evaluation for any private school child whose parent resides in the district who is referred for evaluation. The evaluation may take place in the public school, the private school, or an appropriate contracted facility; as part of its consultation with the private school, the district ensures that a representative of the child's private 	

CDITEDION			
CRITERION NUMBER			
1(01/12/11	Local Standard		
	_		
	school is invited to participate as a member of the Team pursuant to §28.05. The district provides an IEP for any such private school child who is found eligible for special education and/or related services. 4. The district provides special education and/or related services designed to meet the needs of eligible children who are attending private schools at private expense and whose parents reside in the district, and does so according to a properly developed IEP. The district provides to such children genuine opportunities to participate in a public school special education program consistent with state constitutional limitations. 5. In providing or arranging for the provision of the special education and/or related services described by the child's IEP, the district ensures that special education services funded with state or local funds are provided in a public school facility or other public or neutral site. When services are provided using only federal funds, services are provided on public or private school grounds. When the child attends a private school located outside of the district, the district makes reasonable efforts to provide or arrange for the provision of services for the child in the community where the school is located. 6. The district does not withdraw or withhold services from a child whose parents reside in the district solely because the district has met the spending requirements of federal law. 7. Special education services and/or related services for a private school child whose parents reside in the district are comparable in quality, scope, and opportunity for participation to those provided to public school children with needs of equal importance. 8. An expedited special education evaluation, which is limited to a child's physician statement unless there is a clear indication of the need or unless the parents request additional evaluation, is conducted and services provided to eligible students whose parents reside in the district within 15 calendar days of		
	the district's receipt of the child's physician statement. 9. The district calculates the proportionate share of Federal Special Education Entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) required to be spent on eligible private school students (including all eligible students attending private school in the district whether their parents reside in the district, in another Massachusetts district, or out of state) and documents the spending of at least this amount of federal entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) on one or more of the eligible private school students attending private school in the district whose parents reside in the district or out of state.		
	State Requirements Federal Requirements M.G.L. c. 71B, section 2 34 CFR 300.130-144; 300.300(d)(4) 603 CMR 28.03(1)(e)		
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER		
SE 39B	 Procedures used to provide services to eligible students who are enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district and whose parents reside out of state The district conducts child find activitiescomparable to those for public school studentsfor all students enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district. For students enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district and whose parents reside out of state, the district consults with the private schools in accordance with federal requirements. It conducts evaluations and determines eligibility in accordance with state and federal requirements. The district calculates the proportionate share of Federal Special Education Entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) required to be spent on eligible private school students (including all eligible students attending private school in the district whether their parents reside in the district, in another Massachusetts district, or out of state) and documents the spending of at least this amount of federal entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) on one or more of the eligible private school students attending private school in the district whose parents reside in the district or out of state. If the district provides services to any eligible private school student from out of 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(7); 28.04; 28.05(2)	34 CFR 300.130-144; 300.301-311
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard
	Legai Standard
SE 40	 Instructional grouping requirements for students aged five and older The size and composition of instructional groupings for eligible students receiving services outside the general education classroom are compatible with the methods and goals stated in each student's IEP. Instructional grouping size requirements are maximum sizes and the school district exercises judgment in determining appropriate group size and supports for smaller instructional groups serving students with complex special needs. When eligible students are assigned to instructional groupings outside of the general education classroom for 60% or less of the students' school schedule, group size does not exceed 8 students with a certified special educator,

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	 12 students if the certified special educator is assisted by 1 aide, and 16 students if the certified special educator is assisted by 2 aides. 		
	 For eligible students served in settings that are substantially separate, serving solely students with disabilities for more than 60% of the students' school schedule, the district provides instructional groupings that do not exceed 8 students to 1 certified special educator and 1 aide. After the school year has begun, if instructional groups have reached maximum size as delineated in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this criterion, the Administrator of Special Education and the certified special educator(s) providing services in an instructional group may decide to increase the size of an instructional grouping by no more than two additional students if the additional students have compatible instructional needs and then can receive services in their neighborhood school. In such cases, the Administrator provides written notification to the Department and the parents of all group members of the decision. Such increased instructional group size and the reasons for such decision. Such increased instructional group sizes are in effect only for the year in which they are initiated. The district takes all steps necessary to reduce the instructional groups to the sizes outlined in paragraph 3 or 4 of this criterion for subsequent years. Such steps are documented by the district. 		
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.06(6) Federal Requirements		
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes		

Documentation and interviews indicate that, when eligible students are assigned to instructional groupings outside of the general education classroom for 60% or less of the students' school schedule, eighteen sections at the high school exceeded instructional grouping size requirements.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 41	Age span requirements	
	The ages of the youngest and oldest child in any instructional grouping do not differ	
	by more than 48 months. A written request for approval of a wider age range is submitted to the Commissioner of Education in cases where the district believes it is	
	justified. Such requests are implemented only after approval of the Department of	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	Elementary and Secondary Education.	
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.06(6)(f)	Federal Requirements
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Documentation and interviews indicate that age span requirements are not always met and that waivers were not requested from the Department for three instructional groups at the high school and one at the Richer Elementary School.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 42	Programs for young children three and four years of age General requirements: 1. The school district ensures programs are available for eligible children three and four years of age. Such programs shall be developmentally appropriate and specially designed for children ages three and four years. 2. Where at all possible the school district accepts referrals from the Department of Public Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the child turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the child's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements. 3. Where appropriate, the school district elects, consistent with federal requirements to use the format and services of the Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), if appropriate, for an additional year as a means of transitioning eligible children to public school services. 4. Where appropriate the Team allows a child to remain in a program designed for three and four year old children for the duration of the school year in which the child turns five years old (including the summer following the date of the child's fifth birthday). Types of Settings: 5. Inclusionary programs for young children are located in a setting that includes children with and without disabilities and meet the following standards: a. Services in such programs are provided in the home, the public school, Head Start, or a licensed childcare setting. b. For public school programs that integrate children with and without disabilities, the class size does not exceed 20 with 1 teacher and 1 aide and no more than 5 students with disabilities. If the number of	

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	exceed 15 studer 6. Substantially separate proschool classroom or facilities. Substantially standards: a. Substantially sep 50% of the children b. Substantially sep	abilities is 6 or 7 then the class size does not not with 1 teacher and 1 aide. ograms for young children are located in a public lity that serves primarily or solely children with a separate programs adhere to the following arate programs are programs in which more than the ren have disabilities. arate programs operated by the district limit class as with 1 teacher and 1 aide.
	State Requirements 603 CMR 28.06(7)	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.101(b); 300.124(b); 300.323(b)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Legal Standard	
SE 43	Behavioral interventions For a student whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others, the Team considers the student's behavior including positive behavioral interventions and the possible need for a functional behavioral assessment.	
	State Requirements Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.324(a)(2)(i)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 44	Procedure for recording suspensions The district has a procedure to record the number and duration of suspensions from any part of the student's program, including suspensions from special transportation prescribed by the IEP.

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.530; IDEA 2004 Final Regulations
		Analysis of Comments and Changes,
		Federal Register 71 (14 August 2006): 46715
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 45	 Procedures for suspension up to 10 days and after 10 days: General requirements Any eligible student may be suspended up to 10 days in any school year without implementation of procedures described in criterion SE 46 below. After a student with special needs has been suspended for 10 days in any school year, during any subsequent removal the public school provides sufficient services for the student to continue to receive a free and appropriate public education. The school provides additional procedural safeguards for students with disabilities prior to any suspension beyond 10 consecutive days or more than 10 cumulative days (if there is a pattern of suspension) in any school year. 	
	State Requirements Federal Requirements	
	M.G.L. c. 76, §§ 16-17 Rating: Implemented	34 CFR 300.530-300.537 District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 46	 Procedures for suspension of students with disabilities when suspensions exceed 10 consecutive school days or a pattern has developed for suspensions exceeding 10 cumulative days; responsibilities of the Team; responsibilities of the district 1. A suspension of longer than 10 consecutive days or a series of suspensions that are shorter than 10 consecutive days but constitute a pattern are considered to represent a change in placement. 2. Prior to a suspension that constitutes a change in placement of a student with

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	disabilities, district personnel, the parent, and other relevant members of the Team, as determined by the parent and the district, convene to review all relevant information in the student's file, including the IEP, any teacher observations, and any relevant information from the parents, to determine whether the behavior was caused by or had a direct and substantial relationship to the disability or was the direct result of the district's failure to implement the IEP—"a manifestation determination." 3. If district personnel, the parent, and other relevant members of the Team determine that the behavior is NOT a manifestation of the disability, then the district may suspend or expel the student consistent with policies applied to any student without disabilities, except that the district must still offer: a. services to enable the student, although in another setting, to continue to participate in the general education curriculum and to progress toward IEP goals; and b. as appropriate, a functional behavioral assessment and behavioral intervention services and modifications, to address the behavior so that it does not recur. 4. Interim alternative educational setting. Regardless of the manifestation determination, the district may place the student in an interim alternative educational setting (as determined by the Team) for up to 45 school days a. on its own authority if the behavior involves weapons or illegal drugs or another controlled substance or the infliction of serious bodily injury on another person while at school or a school function or, considered case by case, unique circumstances; or b. on the authority of a hearing officer if the officer orders the alternative placement after the district provides evidence that the		
	student is "substantially likely" to injure him/herself or others. <u>Characteristics.</u> In either case, the interim alternative education setting enables the student to continue in the general curriculum and to continue receiving services identified on the IEP, and provides services to address the problem behavior.		
	 5. If district personnel, the parent, and other relevant members of the Team determine that the behavior IS a manifestation of the disability, then the Team completes a functional behavioral assessment and behavioral intervention plan if it has not already done so. If a behavioral intervention plan is already in place, the Team reviews it and modifies it, as necessary, to address the behavior. Except when he or she has been placed in an interim alternative educational setting in accordance with part 4, the student returns to the original placement unless the parents and district agree otherwise. 6. Not later than the date of the decision to take disciplinary action, the school district notifies the parents of that decision and provides them with the written notice of procedural safeguards. If the parent chooses to appeal or the school district requests a hearing because it believes that maintaining the student's current placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or others, the student remains in the disciplinary placement, if any, until the 		

CRITERION NUMBER		
	L	egal Standard
	decision of the hearing officer or the end of the time period for the disciplinary action, whichever comes first, unless the parent and the school district agree otherwise.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.530-537
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

While the four handbooks make references to implementing a manifestation determination when a special needs student is suspended for a time exceeding ten days, three of the handbooks (High School, Intermediate Elementary School, Elementary Schools) do not address the use of the results of the manifestation determination, including information that the student will continue to receive services and will not be suspended if the behavior is a manifestation of the student's disability.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 47	Procedural requirements applied to students not yet determined to be eligible for special education 1. If, prior to the disciplinary action, a district had knowledge that the student may be a student with a disability, then the district makes all protections available to the student until and unless the student is subsequently determined not to be eligible. The district may be considered to have prior knowledge if: a. The parent had expressed concern in writing; or b. The parent had requested an evaluation; or c. District staff had expressed directly to the special education director or other supervisory personnel specific concerns about a pattern of behavior demonstrated by the student.	
	The district may not be considered to have had prior knowledge if the parent has not consented to evaluation of the student or has refused special education services, or if an evaluation of the student has resulted in a determination of ineligibility. 2. If the district had no reason to consider the student disabled, and the parent requests an evaluation subsequent to the disciplinary action, the district must have procedures consistent with federal requirements to conduct an expedited evaluation to determine eligibility. 3. If the student is found eligible, then he/she receives all procedural protections	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	L	egal Standard
	subsequent to the finding of eligibility.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.534
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Procedural requirements for students not yet determined eligible for Special Education are not referenced in any of the school handbooks.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 48	FAPE (Free, appropriate, public education): Equal opportunity to participate in educational, nonacademic, extracurricular and ancillary programs, as well as participation in regular education All students receiving special education, regardless of placement, shall have an equal opportunity to participate in and, if appropriate, receive credit for the vocational, supportive, or remedial services that may be available as part of the general education program as well as the non-academic and extracurricular programs of the school. Programs, services and activities include, but are not limited to: 1. art and music 2. vocational education, industrial arts, and consumer and homemaking education 3. work study and employment opportunities 4. counseling services available at all levels in the district 5. health services 6. transportation 7. recess and physical education, including adapted physical education 8. athletics and recreational activities 9. school-sponsored groups or clubs 10. meals		

CRITERION NUMBER		
]	Legal Standard
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(5)	34 CFR 300.101 – 300.113
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Interviews indicate that students in the eighth grade at the High School who are on an IEP do not have access to elective courses. (e.g. art, music, cooking, exploratory shop classes).

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
SE 49	school district provides or arrandevelopmental, corrective, and student to benefit from special includes: 1. speech-language pathology 2. psychological services 3. physical therapy 4. occupational therapy 5. recreation, including therap 6. early identification and ass 7. counseling services, includ 8. orientation and mobility se 9. medical services for diagnor 10. school health services, includ 11. social work services in sch 12. parent counseling and train 13. interpreting services. State Requirements	peutic recreation essment of disabilities in children ing rehabilitation counseling rvices (peripatology) estic or evaluation purposes uding school nurse services ools ing, and Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(18)	34 CFR 300.34; 300.323(c)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION		
	Legal	Legal Standard	
SE 50	Administrator of Special Education The school district has an appointed person to be its Administrator of Special Education. The Administrator supervises all special education for the school district and ensures compliance with all federal and state special education laws. The Administrator of Special Education is appropriately licensed or holds a current waiver for an appropriate license or otherwise demonstrates that he or she has the qualifications to perform all of the duties of the Administrator. As appropriate, and in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c.71B, §3A, the Administrator may designate other school district personnel to carry out some of the duties of the Administrator.		
	State Requirements M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3A; 603 CMR 28.03(2)	Federal Requirements	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal S	tandard	
SE 51	Appropriate special education teacher licensure Except at Commonwealth charter schools, individuals who design and/or provide direct special education services described in IEPs are appropriately licensed.		
	_	ederal Requirements 4 CFR 300.18; 300.156	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal S	tandard
SE 52	Appropriate certifications/licenses or other credentials related service providers Any person, including non-educational personnel, who provides related services described under federal special education law, who supervises paraprofessionals in the provision of related services, or who provides support services directly to the regular or special classroom teacher is appropriately certified, licensed, board-registered or otherwise approved to provide such services by the relevant professional standards board or agency for the profession.	
	State Requirements Federal Requirements 603 CMR 28.02(3),(18) 34 CFR 300.34; 300.156(b)	
	Note: The definition of related services under IDEA 2004, at 34 CFR 300.34, now covers interpreting services, as defined in 300.34(c)(4), for students who are deaf or hard of hearing. Those who provide these kinds of related services must be registered with the Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing. See the Memorandum on New Requirements for Registration of Sign Language Interpreters Who Work in Educational Settings at http://www.doe.mass.edu/news/news.asp?id=3416 .	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal S	tandard
SE 53	teachers) are appropriately trained related services. 2. Persons employed as paraprofession instruction for students with disabilinstruction under the supervision of professional who is proximate and supervision. State Requirements	lities but are expected to implement f an appropriately certified or licensed
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal S	tandard	
SE 54	Professional development 1. The district considers the needs of all star professional and paraprofessional staff and 2. The district ensures that all staff, including education staff, are trained on: a. state and federal special education recoducation policies and procedures; b. analyzing and accommodating divers to achieve an objective of inclusion in diverse learning styles; c. methods of collaboration among tear assistants to accommodate diverse learning transportation providers, before they begin receiving special transportation, on his or I meeting those needs; for any such student nature of any needs or problems that may on appropriate emergency measures. Transing regular and special education vehicles and Team for either type of vehicle. State Requirements M.G.L. c. 71, §§ 38G, 38Q and 38Q ½ 603 CMR 28.03(1)(a); 28.06(8)(b) and (c)	provides a variety of offerings. In provides a variety of offerings. In poth special education and generated local special education and generated local special education of students the regular classroom of students the regular classroom of students chers, paraprofessionals and teaching styles of all students in the for all locally hired and contracted transporting any special education reads and appropriate method it also provides written informatical education providers include drives a special education providers include drives and providers and providers include drives and providers and provider	eneral ecial in order s with her regular ed on student ds of on on the ormation ers of d by a
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES
	Legal Standard
SE 55	Special education facilities and classrooms The school district provides facilities and classrooms for eligible students that 1. maximize the inclusion of such students into the life of the school; 2. provide accessibility in order to implement fully each child's IEP; 3. are at least equal in all physical respects to the average standards of general education facilities and classrooms;

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES		
	Legal Standard		
	4. are given the same priority as general education programs in the allocation of instructional and other space in public schools in order to minimize the separation or stigmatization of eligible students; and 5. are not identified by signs or other means that stigmatize such students.		
	State Requirements Federal Requirements Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973		
	603 CMR 28.03(1)(b) Section Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

Onsite observations and interviews at Richer Elementary School indicate that there are special education spaces used at the school that do not maximize the inclusion of students with disabilities into the life of the school.

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION	
	Legal Standard	
SE 56	Special education programs and services are evaluated Special education programs and services are regularly evaluated.	
	State Requirements M.G.L. c. 71B, section 2	Federal Requirements
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 59	Transfer of student records When a student with an IEP transfers from school district to school district, whether both of those districts are within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or not, 1. any Massachusetts school to which the student is transferring takes reasonable steps to promptly obtain the student's records, including the IEP, from the former school, and 2. any Massachusetts school from which the student is transferring takes reasonable steps to promptly respond to the new school's request for records. State Requirements Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.323(g)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS

LEGAL STANDARDS, COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND FINDINGS

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
CR 3	Access to a full range of education programs All students, regardless of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or homelessness, have equal access to the general education program and the full range of any occupational/vocational education programs offered by the district.	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.34, 106.35; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; IDEA 2004: 20 U.S.C. 1400; 34 CFR 300.110; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Secs. 721, 722(g)(4); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

Interviews and student record reviews indicate that most Limited English Proficient students at the Middle School and the High School are denied access to English Language Development/ESL services once they are found eligible for Special Education.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 4	Placement of female students, male students, homeless students, students with disabilities, and students from linguistic and racial/ethnic groups Patterns of placement in district programs and services for female students, male students, homeless students, students with disabilities, and students from various linguistic and racial/ethnic groups are consistent with patterns of placement for other students. If these patterns of placement are not consistent, the district is able to demonstrate that placements have been made for valid educational reasons.	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.34, 106.35, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Secs. 721, 722(g)(4); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 71B, s. 6; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03	
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 6	 Availability of in-school programs for pregnant students Pregnant students are permitted to remain in regular classes and participate in extracurricular activities with non-pregnant students throughout their pregnancy, and after giving birth are permitted to return to the same academic and extracurricular program as before the leave. The district does not require a pregnant student to obtain the certification of a physician that the student is physically and emotionally able to continue in school unless it requires such certification for all students for other physical or emotional conditions requiring the attention of a physician. Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.40(b)
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
CR 7	being distributed to parents a by parents or guardians with established a system of oral limited English skills, includ 2. School or program recruitmed disseminated to residents in translated into the major lang skills.	anguages other than English ocuments, e.g. handbooks and codes of conduct, are translated into the major languages spoken limited English skills; the district has interpretation to assist parents/guardians with ing those who speak low-incidence languages. In the area served by the school or program are guages spoken by residents with limited English M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 7A	 School year schedules Before the beginning of each school year, the school district sets a school year schedule for each school. The school year includes at least 185 school days for students in grades 1-12 at each elementary, middle, and secondary school in the district, and these schools are in operation for at least 180 days a year for these students. The school district ensures that unless his or her IEP or Section 504 Accommodation Plan provides otherwise, each elementary school student is scheduled for at least 900 hours of structured learning time a year and each secondary school student is scheduled for at least 990 hours of structured learning time a year, within the required school year schedule. Where the school district operates separate middle schools, it designates each one as either elementary or secondary. Where the school district sets a separate school year and school day schedule for kindergarten programs, it provides at least 425 hours of structured learning time a year. If the district schedules two sessions of kindergarten a day, it ensures equal instructional time for all kindergarten students. M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 CMR 27.03, 27.04 	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

Documentation indicates that before the beginning of each school year, the school district does not set a school year schedule that includes at least 185 school days for students in grades 1-12 at each elementary, middle, and secondary school in the district. Interviews also indicate that the Phoenix program, a high school alternative program, does not have the appropriate number of hours, 990, of structured learning time a year within the required school year schedule.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 7B	Structured learning time 1. The school district ensures that its structured learning time is time during which students are engaged in regularly scheduled instruction, learning, or assessments within the curriculum of core subjects and other subjects as defined in 603 CMR 27.02 (including physical education, required by M.G.L. c. 71, s. 3). The district's structured learning time may include directed study (activities directly related to a program of studies, with a teacher available to assist students),

CRITERION NUMBER		
		Legal Standard
	direction of a teacher, ass learning, presentations by programs, and statewide so the district ensures that it breakfast or lunch, passing directed study periods (stroor receiving school service occupational therapy, except IEP or Section 504 Accordance 1. The hours spent in any ty school district. Where the	pe of structured learning time are verified by the e school district counts independent study or a school-ured learning time, it has guidelines that explain
	M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 C	MR 27.02, 27.04
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Lega	l Standard
CR 7C	Early release of high school seniors When the school district schedules the early release at the end of the year of the senior class of a high school, it does so in a way that conforms with Board of Elementary and Secondary Education requirements under 603 CMR 27.05, ensuring that neither the conclusion of the seniors' school year nor graduation is more than 12 school days before the regular scheduled closing date of that school. M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 CMR 27.05	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Stand	ard
CR 8	 Accessibility of extracurricular activities Extracurricular activities sponsored by the distraction of the school provides equal opportunity for an and interscholastic sports; extracurricular activities or clubs sponsored students on the basis of race, sex, color, relorientation, disability, or homelessness. Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b) 	Il students to participate in intramural d by the school do not exclude igion, national origin, sexual b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR
	106.31, 106.41; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title amend. art 114; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 20	X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const.
	Rating: Implemented Dis	strict Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 9	Hiring and employment practices of prospective employers of students 1. The district requires employers recruiting at the school to sign a statement that the employer complies with applicable federal and state laws prohibiting discrimination in hiring or employment practices. 2. Prospective employers to whom this criterion applies include those participating in career days and work-study and apprenticeship training programs, as well as those offering cooperative work experience.	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(1),(2); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.38; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(1)(v), 104.37(a); Title II:	
	42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v); M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07(5) Rating: Partially Implemented	

Interviews and documentation indicate that employers participating in the school-to-work component of the Post Graduate Program have not signed a statement that the employer complies with applicable federal and state laws prohibiting discrimination in hiring or employment practices.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Legal Standard	
CR 10A	 Student handbooks and codes of conduct a) The district has a code of conduct for students and one for teachers. b) The principal of every school containing grades 9-12 prepares, in consultation with the school council, a student handbook containing the student code of conduct and distributes it to each student annually, as well as to parents and school personnel; the school council reviews and revises the student code of conduct every year. c) The principal of every school containing other grades distributes the district's student code of conduct to students, parents, and personnel annually. d) At the request of a parent or student whose primary language is not English, a student handbook or student code of conduct is translated into that language. Student codes of conduct contain: a) procedures assuring due process in disciplinary proceedings and b) appropriate procedures for the discipline of students with special needs and students with Section 504 Accommodation Plans. Student handbooks and codes of conduct reference M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5 and contain: a) a nondiscrimination policy that is consistent with M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5, and affirms the school's non-tolerance for harassment based on race, color, national origin, sex, religion, or sexual orientation, or discrimination on those same bases; b) the school's procedure for accepting, investigating and resolving complaints alleging discrimination or harassment; and c) the disciplinary measures that the school may impose if it determines that harassment or discrimination has occurred. 	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

While the four handbooks make references to implementing a manifestation determination when special needs and 504 students are suspended for a time exceeding ten days, three of the handbooks (High School, Intermediate Elementary School, Elementary Schools) do not address the use of the results of the manifestation determination including information that the student will continue to receive services and will not be suspended if the behavior is a manifestation of the student's disability. The handbook indicates that the High School's withdrawal policies state that the school may withhold student records and other documents unless all books, instructional materials and other school owned materials are returned. Handbooks also indicate that although the due process appeals process for Intermediate Elementary School and the Middle School state that appeals of suspensions of 5-10 days may be made to the superintendent, it does not state the procedure for this appeal. The Middle

School's handbook does not have a procedure for accepting, investigating and resolving complaints alleging discrimination or harassment. All school handbooks' due process includes a statement that a student may return to school after there has been verbal communication between the parent/guardian and the assistant principal. However, a student may not be excluded from school due to the inactions of the parent/guardian. All handbooks reference District Policy 1.300 on harassment and bullying, but they do not provide a means for parents or students to access this policy.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 11A	 Designation of coordinator(s); grievance procedures The district has designated one or more staff persons to serve as coordinator(s) for compliance with its responsibilities under Title IX, Section 504, and (if it employs 50 or more persons) Title II. The district has adopted and published grievance procedures for students and for employees providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging discrimination based on sex or disability.
	Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.7; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.107
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 12A	Annual and continuous notification concerning nondiscrimination and coordinators
	 If the district offers vocational education programs, it advises students, parents, employees and the general public before the beginning of each school year that all vocational opportunities will be offered regardless of race, color, national origin, sex or disability. The notice includes a brief summary of program offerings and admission criteria and the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under CR 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504. In all cases, the district takes continuing steps to notify applicants, students, parents, and employees (including those with impaired vision or hearing), as well as unions or professional organizations holding collective bargaining or professional agreements with the district, that it does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. This notice, also, includes the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under CR 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	3. Written materials and other media used to publicize a school include a notice that the school does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, religion, or sexual orientation.	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.6(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8(a), 106.9; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.8; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2)	
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 13	Availability of information and academic counseling on general curricular and occupational/vocational opportunities Students from linguistic, racial, and ethnic minorities; males; females; homeless students; and students with disabilities all receive, in grades 7-12, the same information and academic counseling as other students on the full range of general curricular and any occupational/vocational opportunities available to them.	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37(b); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.03	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

Interviews with staff at the high school indicate that Limited English Proficient students in grades 8-12 do not have access to the same information and academic counseling as other students on the full range of general curricular and any occupational/vocational opportunities available to them. In particular, guidance counselors and support staff at the guidance office do not have the ability to communicate with students in a language other than English.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 14	 Counseling and counseling materials free from bias and stereotypes To ensure that counseling and counseling materials are free from bias and stereotypes on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, and homelessness, all counselors: encourage students to consider programs of study, courses, extracurricular activities, and occupational opportunities on the basis of individual interests, abilities, and skills; examine testing materials for bias and counteract any found bias when administering tests and interpreting test results; communicate effectively with limited-English-proficient and disabled students and facilitate their access to all programs and services offered by the district; provide limited-English-proficient students with the opportunity to receive guidance and counseling in a language they understand; support students in educational and occupational pursuits that are nontraditional for their gender. Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130, 35.160; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.04, 26.07(8)
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 15	Non-discriminatory administration of scholarships, prizes and awards Scholarships, prizes and awards sponsored or administered by the district are free of restrictions based upon race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability. Schools may post or print information regarding private restricted scholarships as long as no preferential treatment is given to any particular scholarship offered and as long as the school does not endorse or recommend any such scholarship nor advise or suggest to a particular student that he or she apply for such a scholarship. Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3; Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.37; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(1)(v); Title II: 42 U.S.C.
	12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07(7)
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Leg	al Standard
CR 16	Notice to students 16 or over leaving school without a high school diplomaterificate of attainment, or certificate of completion 1. Within ten days from a student's fifteenth consecutive unexcused absers school provides written notice to students age 16 or over and their parents guardians. The notice is in English and the family's native language and that the student and the parent or guardian may meet with a representative district within ten days from the date the notice was sent. At the request of parent or guardian, the district may consent to an extension of the time for meeting of not longer than fourteen days. 2. At the meeting the participants discuss the reasons that the student is less school and alternative educational or other placements. The student and peguardian are told that attendance is voluntary after the student turns 16 but also informed of the student's right to return to school. 3. Any district serving students in high school grades sends annual writter to former students who have not yet earned their competency determination who have not transferred to another school a) to inform them of the availability of publicly funded post-high school academic support programs and b) to encourage them to participate in those programs. At a minimum, the district sends annual written notice by first class mail that known address of each such student who attended a high school in the district within the past two years. M.G.L. c. 76, §§ 5, 18; St. 1965, c. 741	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Documentation and interviews indicate that the district does not send an annual written notice to former students who have not yet earned their competency determination and who have not transferred to another school.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	I	egal Standard
CR 17	Screening for vision, hearing and posture Provision is made for ongoing and periodic screening of all students as required by the Department of Public Health (vision, hearing, and posture). M.G.L. c. 71, s. 57	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 17A	Use of physical restraint on any student enrolled in a publicly-funded education program
	1. The district has developed and implemented staff training at least annually on the use of restraint consistent with regulatory requirements. Such training occurs within the first month of each school year and, for employees hired after the school year begins, within a month of their employment.
	2. The district administers physical restraint on students only when needed to protect a student and/or a member of the school community from imminent, serious, physical harm. The district implements restraint procedures consistent with Department of Elementary and Secondary Education regulations in order to prevent or minimize any harm to the student as a result of the use of physical restraint.
	3. The district has developed written procedures regarding appropriate responses to student behavior that may require immediate intervention. Such procedures are annually reviewed and provided to school staff and made available to parents of enrolled students.
	4. The district has developed and implemented reporting requirements and procedures for administrators, parents and the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education consistent with the regulations.
	5. The district has developed and implemented any applicable individual waiver procedures consistent with the regulations.
	M.G.L. c. 71, § 37G; 603 CMR 46.00
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Documentation indicates that the district currently does not have a standard parent notice regarding the district's restraint policies and procedures. Documentation and interviews also indicate that the district does not have a system for training newly hired staff on the use of restraints when they start working after the beginning of the school year.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 18	Responsibilities of the school principal 1. Instructional support. The principal in each of the district's schools promotes instructional practices responsive to student needs and ensures that adequate instructional support is available for students and teachers.	
	 Instructional support includes remedial instruction for students, consultative services for teachers, availability of reading instruction at the elementary level, appropriate services for linguistic minority students, and other services consistent with effective educational practices and the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71B, §2. The principal consults with the Administrator of Special Education regarding accommodations and interventions for students. Such efforts and their results are documented and placed in the student record. Additionally, when an individual student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the principal ensures that documentation on the use of instructional support services for the student is provided as part of the evaluation information reviewed by the Team when determining eligibility. Curriculum Accommodation Plan. The principal implements a curriculum accommodation plan developed by the district's general education program to ensure that all efforts have been made to meet the needs of diverse learners in the general education program. The plan assists the regular classroom teacher in analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all children in the regular classroom and in providing appropriate services and support within the general education program including, but not limited to, direct and systematic instruction in reading and provision of services to address the needs of children whose behavior may interfere with learning. The plan includes provisions encouraging teacher mentoring and collaboration and parental involvement. (The plan may be part of a multi- 	
	year strategic plan.) 4. Coordination with special education. The principal with the assistance of the Administrator of Special Education coordinates the delivery and supervision of special education services within each school building.	
	5. Educational services in home or hospital. Upon receipt of a physician's written order verifying that any student enrolled in a public school or placed by the public school in a private setting must remain at home or in a hospital on a day or overnight basis, or any combination of both, for medical reasons and for a period of not less than fourteen school days in any school year, the principal arranges for provision of educational services in the home or hospital. Such services are provided with sufficient frequency to allow the student to continue his or her educational program, as long as such services do not interfere with the medical needs of the student. The principal coordinates such services with the Administrator for Special Education for eligible students. Such educational services are not considered special education unless the student has been determined eligible for such services,	

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION	
	Legal Standard	
	and the services include services on the student's IEP. M.G.L. c. 71, § 38Q ½; 603 CMR 28.03(3)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
CR 18A	School district employment practices District employment practices in general are free from discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. In particular, the district's faculty salary scales are based on the conditions and responsibilities of employment without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or disability, and the district's employee recruitment is aimed at reaching all groups, including members of linguistic, ethnic, and racial minorities, females and males, and persons with disabilities. Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(c); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.51-106.61; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.11-
	104.14; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.140; Mass. Const. amend. art 114
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal S	tandard	
CR 20	Staff training on confidentiality of stude The district trains school personnel on the Rights and Privacy Act, M.G.L. c. 71, s. 34 importance of information privacy and confidence of FERPA: 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 9 esp. 23.05(3)	provisions of the Family Educati 4H, and 603 CMR 23.00 and on the official of the original original original of the original original original original original original orig	the
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER	T 1 C	4 1 1	
	Legal S	tandard	
CR 21	Staff training regarding civil rights responsibilities The district provides in-service training for all school personnel at least annually regarding civil rights responsibilities, including the prevention of discrimination and harassment on the basis of students' race, color, sex, religion, national origin and sexual orientation and the appropriate methods for responding to it in the school setting.		
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3; U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31-106.42; M.G 26.07(2), (3)	* * * *	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES		
	Legal Standard		
CR 22	Accessibility of district programs and services for students with disabilities In at least one facility within the district, the district makes available and entirely accessible to students with disabilities all educational and vocational programs and services offered at each level (preschool, elementary and secondary). Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.21,104.22; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.149, 35.150; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b)(1)		
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 23	Comparability of facilities Where the district provides separate facilities for members of a specific group, those facilities are comparable to those offered other students in the district, including: 1. separate facilities for disabled, limited-English-proficient or pregnant students that are comparable to the facilities for other students in the district; 2. separate toilet, locker room, and shower facilities for students of one gender that	

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	are comparable in size, condition, number and location to those provided students of the other gender.		
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.33, 106.40(b)(3); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.34(c); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b)		
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 24	Curriculum review The district ensures that individual teachers in the district review all educational materials for simplistic and demeaning generalizations, lacking intellectual merit, on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin and sexual orientation. Appropriate activities, discussions and/or supplementary materials are used to provide balance and context for any such stereotypes depicted in such materials.	
	M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.05(2)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 25	Institutional self-evaluation The district evaluates all aspects of its K-12 program annually to ensure that all students, regardless of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, limited English proficiency, sexual orientation, disability, or housing status, have equal access to all programs, including athletics and other extracurricular activities. It makes such changes as are indicated by the evaluation.	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b) 35.130(b)(3); NCLB: Title III, Part A	00.3(b)(2); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Section (4); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. st. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, §
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS IX. RECORD KEEPING	
	Legal Standard	
CR 26A	 Confidentiality and student records In accordance with federal and state requirements, the district protects the confidentiality of any personally identifiable information that it collects, uses or maintains. The district maintains and provides access to student records in accordance with federal and state requirements. FERPA: 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99; M.G.L. c. 71, § 34H; 603 CMR 23.05, 23.07 	
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

LEGAL STANDARDS, COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND FINDINGS

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 1	Annual Assessment 1. The district annually assesses the English proficiency of all limited English proficient (LEP) students. 2. The following tests selected by the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education are administered annually by qualified staff to students who are English learners: (a) the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) in grades 3-12; and (b) the Massachusetts English Proficiency Assessment (MEPA) in grades 3-12, and the Massachusetts English Language Assessment – Oral (MELA-O) in grades K-12.	
	Authority: NCLB, Title I and Title III; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; 603 CMR 14.02 Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

The review of student records indicates that copies of MCAS and MELA-O results are sometimes missing from students' English Language Learner files.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
ELE 2	MCAS Limited English proficient students participate in the annual administration of the MCAS (Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System) exam as required and in accordance with Department guidelines.	
	Authority: NCLB, Title I, Title VI; M.G.L. c. 69, § 1I; c. 71A, § 7	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT		
	Legal Standard		
ELE 3	identify students who are limited-Engli English proficiency in reading, writing	Initial Identification The district uses qualified staff and appropriate procedures and assessments to identify students who are limited-English-proficient and to assess their level of English proficiency in reading, writing, speaking, and listening. Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 71A, §§ 4, 5; 603 CMR 14.02; M.G.L	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes	

The review of student records indicates that copies of initial assessments and home language surveys are sometimes missing from the students' ELL files.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
ELE 4	 Waiver Procedures Waivers may be considered based on parent request, providing the parent annually visits the school and provides written informed consent. Parents must be informed of their right to apply for a waiver and provided with program descriptions in a language they can understand. Students who are under age 10, may only be granted waivers if (a) the student has been placed in an English language classroom for at least 30 calendar days, (b) the school certifies in no less than 250 words that the student "has special and individual physical or psychological needs, separate from lack of English proficiency" that requires an alternative program, and (c) the waiver is authorized by both the school superintendent and principal. All waiver requests and school district responses (approved or disapproved waivers) must be placed in the student's permanent school record. For students under age 10, both the superintendent and the principal must authorize the waiver, and it must be made under guidelines established by, and subject to the review of the local school committee. These guidelines may, but are not required to, contain an appeals process. Students who are over age 10 may be granted waivers when it is the informed belief of the school principal and educational staff that an alternative program would be better for the student's overall educational progress.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	recognized and legally peri	may be transferred to an educationally nitted English language learner program other mersion or two-way bilingual program. See 603
	Authority: M.G.L. c. 71A, § 5; 603 CMR 14.04(3)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
ELE 5	Program Placement and Structure 1. The district places LEP students in a. "sheltered English immersion" (SEI) classrooms (SEI has two components, English as a Second Language (ESL)/English Language Development (ELD) instruction and sheltered content instruction as described in M.G.L. c. 71A, §§ 2 and 4); or b. "two-way bilingual" classrooms, in which students develop language proficiency in two languages by receiving instruction in English and another language in a classroom that is usually comprised of an equal number of proficient English speakers and proficient speakers of the other language; or c. (for kindergarten students) either a sheltered English immersion, two-way bilingual, or an English-only language general education classroom with assistance in English language acquisition, including, but not limited to, ESL; or d. (as a result of an approved waiver) bilingual education or another educationally recognized and legally permitted English language learner program, in which the students are taught all courses required by law and by the school district. Regardless of the program model, districts provide LEP students with content instruction that is based on the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks. Regardless of the program model, districts provide ESL/ELD instruction that is based on the English Language Proficiency Benchmarks and Outcomes. The district uses assessment data to plan and implement educational programs for students at different instructional levels.	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.	G.L. c. 71A, §§ 2, 4, 7
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The content instruction that LEP students receive throughout the district is based on the appropriate Massachusetts Curriculum Framework. It is unlikely that most LEP students receive sheltered content instruction as the majority of content teachers have not completed SEI Professional Development. Classroom observations did not show a consistent use of sheltering strategies. (see ELE 14).

LEP students in Marlborough have designated ESL class time in amounts that are in accordance with the June 2005 guidance document, "Using MEPA Results to Plan SEI Instructional Programming" with the exception of intermediate students at the middle school, who receive at least two hours a week of ESL classes. This falls below the recommended amount of ESL instruction for intermediate students of 1-2 hours per day.

In the majority of the seven ESL classes observed, class time did not address the four modalities of listening, speaking, reading, and writing that define ESL instruction. There were few, if any, instances of students speaking or writing. in most of these classes. The district does not have an ESL curriculum that is based on the English Language Proficiency Benchmarks and Outcomes (ELPBO). Finally, it should be noted that there are many students at the high school with limited formal schooling, but no curriculum has been developed for these students.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
ELE 6	Program Exit and Readiness 1. The district does not re-designate a student from Limited English Proficient (LEP) to Formerly Limited English Proficient (FLEP) until he or she is deemed English proficient and can participate meaningfully in all aspects of the district's general education program without the use of adapted or simplified English materials. 2. Districts do not limit or cap the amount of time in which an LEP student can remain in a language support program. An LEP student only exits from such a program after he or she is determined to be proficient in English. Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 4

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

District document review and staff interviews indicate that the district does not limit or cap the amount of time a limited English proficient (LEP) student can continue a language support program. Decisions concerning the re-designation of LEP students to formerly limited English proficient (FLEP) are made by the ELL Resource Specialist at each building, in conjunction with the building principal. Although the same indicators (MEPA scores, MELA-O scores, and teacher recommendations) are used across the district to determine whether or not an LEP student is ready to be re-designated as FLEP, interviews indicated that there is a lack of uniformity in the specific performance criteria and procedures necessary for re-designation.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 7	Parent Involvement The district develops ways to include parents or guardians of LEP students in matters pertaining to their children's education. Authority: Title VI; EEOA	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 8	Declining Entry to a Program The district provides English language support to students whose parents have declined entry to a sheltered English immersion, two-way bilingual, or other ELE program.	
	Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 71, §38Q1/2	
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
ELE 9	Instructional Grouping 1. The district only groups LEP students of different ages together in instructional settings if their levels of English proficiency are similar. 2. The district's grouping of students ensures that LEP students receive effective content instruction at appropriate academic levels and that ESL/ELD instruction is at the appropriate proficiency level and based on the English Language Proficiency Benchmarks and Outcomes. Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 4	
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

District documents, classroom observations, and interviews indicate that limited English proficient (LEP) students are grouped by appropriate grade levels for sheltered content instruction. Interviews and classroom observations showed that LEP students are grouped appropriately for ESL instruction at the high school.

However, at the elementary level, student groupings for ESL instruction vary from school to school and students of different proficiency levels are sometimes grouped for ESL instruction. At the middle school, LEP students are grouped by proficiency level for ESL instruction; however, two different groups at different proficiency levels may be assigned to receive ESL instruction from the same teacher at the same time.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
ELE 10	Parental Notification	
	1. Upon placement in any ELE program, and annually thereafter, a notice is	
	mailed to the parents or guardians written in the primary/home language as well	
	as in English, that informs parents of:	
	(a) the reasons for identification of the student as Limited English Proficient	
	(LEP);	
	(b) the child's level of English proficiency;	
	(c) program placement and/or the method of instruction used in the program;	
	(d) how the program will meet the student's educational strengths and needs;	
	(e) how the program will specifically help the child learn English;	
	(f) the specific exit requirements; and	
	(g) the parents' right to apply for a waiver (see ELE 4), or to decline to enroll their child in the program (see ELE 8).	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	(All districts need to comply with a-c and g. Title III districts must comply with a-g. Title III districts must send parental notification no later than 30 days after the beginning of the school year.) 2. The district provides to parents and guardians of LEP students, report cards, and progress reports in the same manner and with the same frequency as general education reporting. The reports are, to the maximum extent possible, written in a language understandable to the parent/guardian.	
	Authority: NCLB, Title III; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; 603 CMR 14.02	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that not all student records have annual parental notifications in their ELL files. Progress reports for English Language Development instruction are not always sent to parents. The review of student records and interviews also indicate that progress reports on ELD instruction are not always translated nor are report cards at the Middle and High School.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES
	Legal Standard
ELE 11	 Equal Access to Academic Programs and Services The districts does not segregate LEP students from their English-speaking peers, except where programmatically necessary, to implement an English learner education program. The district ensures that LEP students participate fully with their English-speaking peers and are provided support in non-core academic courses. The district ensures that LEP students have the opportunity to receive support services, such as guidance and counseling, in a language that the student understands. The district ensures that LEP students are taught to the same academic standards and curriculum as all students, and provides the same opportunities to master such standards as other students, including the opportunity to enter academically advanced classes, receive credit for work done, and have access to the full range of programs. The district uses grade appropriate content objectives for LEP students that are based on the district curricula in English language arts, history and social science, mathematics, and science and technology/engineering, taught by qualified staff members. Reserved

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Leş	gal Standard
	and supports afforded non- services, Section 504 Acco technical education, and th accommodation plan. 8. Information in notices such standards provided to all standards and mode of com	s to the full range of academic opportunities LEP students, such as special education ammodation Plans, Title I services, career and e supports outlined in the district's curriculum as activities, responsibilities, and academic udents is provided to LEP students in a amunication that they understand. 2. 71, § 38Q1/2; 603 CMR 28.03(3)(a); c. 603 CMR 26.07(8)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal S	tandard	
ELE 12	Equal Access to Nonacademic and Extra 1. The district provides appropriate supporting proficient students to ensure that they programs and extracurricular activities 2. Information provided to students about events is provided to LEP students in a Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 76,	ort, where necessary, to limited E have equal access to the nonacade available to their English-speakit extracurricular activities and sola language they understand.	emic ing peers.
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

Documents and interviews indicate that not all information provided to students at the high school, about extracurricular activities and school events, is provided to LEP students in a language they understand.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal S	tandard	
ELE 13	Follow-up Support The district actively monitors students who have exited an English learner education program for two years and provides language support services to those students, if needed.		
	Authority: Title VI; EEOA; NCLB, Title I	II	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

Interviews indicate that the high school does not actively monitor students who have exited an English learner education program for two years.

Interviews and record reviews indicate that most Limited English Proficient students at the Middle School and High School that have qualified for Special Education no longer receive English Language Development/ESL services and are not re-designated from Limited English Proficient (LEP) to Formerly Limited English Proficient (FLEP) until he or she is deemed English proficient.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
	 Reserved. Teachers and educational staff who teach limited English proficient students hold the appropriate licenses or current waivers issued by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. Districts have certified and qualified staff in their ELE program. If any district has a director of English language learner programs who is employed in that position for one-half time or more, that director has a Supervisor/Director license and an English as a Second Language, Transitional Bilingual Education, or English Language Learners license. If a district with 200 or more LEP students has a director of English language learner programs, that director has an English as a Second Language, Transitional Bilingual Education, or English Language Learners license even if he or she is employed in that position for less than one-half

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION	
	Legal Standard	
	Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 71,	§ 38G; St. 2002, c. 218, § 25
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

District documentation showed that thirteen of the eighteen teachers assigned to teach ESL hold the appropriate license. Interviews and classroom observations indicate that in some instances teachers who are not licensed to teach ESL, including teachers with a TBE license and ESL paraprofessionals, are sometimes responsible for teaching ESL classes.

Content teachers of LEP students in grades K-12 have appropriate licenses. However, few content teachers have completed all four categories of Sheltered English Immersion Professional Development (SEI PD) as outlined in the June 2004 Commissioner's memorandum. Therefore, many of the teachers who provide content instruction to LEP students do not possess the appropriate skills and knowledge to effectively shelter content. Additionally, content classroom observations did not consistently show evidence of the use of sheltering techniques.

There are over 500 LEP students in the district, but there is no ELL director at this time. The Director of Pupil Services has been given responsibility for overseeing ELE programming in the district.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
ELE 15	Professional Development Requirements District schools with LEP students implement a professional development plan that provides teachers and administrators with high quality training, as prescribed by the Department, in (1) second language learning and teaching; (2) sheltering content instruction; (3) assessment of speaking and listening; and (4) teaching reading and writing to limited English proficient students. The school provides training opportunities to teachers of LEP students that ensure the progress of LEP students in developing oral comprehension, speaking, reading, and writing of English, and in meeting academic standards. Authority: NCLB, Title III

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal S	tandard	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

The district has submitted a professional development plan that provides for training in the skills and knowledge as described in the June 2004 Commissioner's Memorandum. The plan includes a specific timeline for implementation but does not target particular schools, content areas, or grade levels for teacher training.

Interviews suggest that teachers are unclear about the Commissioner's guidance regarding the four categories of training and the qualifications for teaching content to LEP students. Many content teachers interviewed were also not aware of current or future opportunities to participate in SEI PD.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 16	Equitable Facilities The district ensures that LEP students are provided facilities, materials and services comparable to those provided to the overall student population.	
	Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

Onsite observations and interviews indicate that one of the ELL classrooms at the Richer Elementary School is not at least equal in size to the regular education classrooms.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
ELE 17	Program Evaluation
	The district conducts periodic evaluations of the effectiveness of its ELE program
	in developing students' English language skills and increasing their ability to
	participate meaningfully in the educational program. Where the district
	documents that the program is not effective, it takes steps to make appropriate

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION	
	Legal Standard	
	program adjustments or changes that are responsive to the outcomes of the program evaluation.	
	Authority: Title VI; EEOA	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION IX. RECORD KEEPING
	Legal Standard
ELE 18	Records of LEP Students LEP student records include: (a) home language survey; (b) results of identification and proficiency tests and evaluations, including MELA-O, MEPA, MCAS, or other tests chosen by the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and the district; (c) information about students' previous school experiences; (d) copies of parent notification letters, progress reports and report cards (in the native language, if necessary); (e) evidence of follow-up monitoring (if applicable); (f) documentation of a parent's consent to "opt-out" of English learner education, if applicable; (g) waiver documentation, if applicable; and (h) Individual Student Success Plans for students who have failed MCAS, if the district is required to complete plans for non-LEP
	students. Authority: Title VI; EEOA; M.G.L. c. 69, § 1I; c. 71A, §§ 5, 7; 603 CMR 14.02, 14.04
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

The review of student records indicates that home language surveys, MELA-O results, MCAS results, annual parental notification letters, English Language Development progress reports and evidence of follow-up monitoring are not always found in all students' ELL files.

Coordinated Program Review Final Reports are available at:

http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/.
Profile information supplied by each charter school and school district, including information for individual schools within districts, is available at http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/.

SE, CR, ELE - 2008.doc

File Name: Marlborough Public Schools Coordinated Program Review Report

2008.doc

Last Revised on: May 22, 2008

Prepared by: PA/GH